

Message

From: Peevey, Michael R. [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 10/13/2012 12:15:03 AM
To: Ron.Litzinger@sce.com
Subject: RE: Huntington Beach Synchronous Condensers

I re-confirm.

From: Ron.Litzinger@sce.com [Ron.Litzinger@sce.com]
Sent: Friday, October 12, 2012 5:13 PM
To: Michael Peevey
Subject: Huntington Beach Synchronous Condensers

Mike,

Thanks for the call yesterday regarding the Huntington Beach Synchronous Condensers. The call was timely as Steve Berberich from CAISO had called me earlier about signing an agreement to backstop AES expenditures for the equipment while a Reliability Must Run (RMR) agreement is negotiated. We certainly share your concern about grid reliability and are willing to consider reasonable measures for Summer 2013 preparedness. I appreciated your sharing with me the your support and the support of the CPUC, CAISO and the Governor's Office.

We are concerned about AES inability thus far to get JP Morgan consent to the equipment under their tolling agreement. We appreciate CAISO's plan to overcome this obstacle, but remain concerned after review of the plan by our legal team and outside counsel.

We appreciate both your assurance on the call yesterday as well as your letter on September 4 for cost recovery should an RMR not be executed. Based on everyone's mutual desire for grid reliability, I am willing to instruct the team to sign the backstop agreement. I would appreciate re-confirmation of assurance of reasonable cost recovery.

Thanks Mike.

Message

From: Les.Starck@sce.com [Les.Starck@sce.com]
Sent: 10/13/2012 1:26:51 AM
To: Michael R. Peevey [mp1@cpuc.ca.gov]
Subject: Actions CPUC Can Take to Help Expedite TRTP Construction

President Peevey,

Last Monday at our lunch, you requested a "couple of paragraphs" that describe our view of the actions the CPUC needs to take to help keep the construction of TRTP on schedule (apart from the issues related to Segment 8 through Chino Hills). I committed to get you this list last Wednesday, but it's turning out that I won't be able to provide it until Monday or Tuesday next week. We only want to provide you with the critical few...and it's taking longer than I thought to get internal agreement as to what to submit to you. I apologize for the delay.

Message

From: Bruce.Foster@sce.com [Bruce.Foster@sce.com]
Sent: 10/29/2010 11:04:07 PM
To: Michael R. Peevey [mp1@cpuc.ca.gov]
Subject: We are at the bar at the Westin if you are staying come on by.

Message

From: Peevey, Michael R. [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 10/30/2012 6:34:34 PM
To: 'Michael.Hoover@sce.com' [Michael.Hoover@sce.com]
Subject: RE: Greetings.

We can do. Get in touch next week.

-----Original Message-----
From: Michael.Hoover@sce.com [mailto:Michael.Hoover@sce.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 30, 2012 10:16 AM
To: Peevey, Michael R.
Subject: Greetings.

I'd love to have lunch or dinner with you at your convenience.

Message

From: Michael.Hoover@sce.com [Michael.Hoover@sce.com]
Sent: 11/28/2012 10:18:27 PM
To: Peevey, Michael R. [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Subject: How about Lunch next Monday?

I'll be in LA and can meet you wherever you choose.

Michael R. Hoover
Director, Regulatory Affairs
(415) 929 - 5541
San Francisco Office

Message

From: Bruce.Foster@sce.com [Bruce.Foster@sce.com]
Sent: 10/26/2010 2:31:21 AM
To: Peevey, Michael R. [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Subject: Re: Green Companies

Touche my friend. Can I work for you. Bruce.

From: "Peevey, Michael R." [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 10/25/2010 07:26 PM MST
To: Bruce Foster
Subject: Re: Green Companies

If you bother to look you will see a parking pass (free) and tix to VIP tent.

From: Bruce.Foster@sce.com
To: Peevey, Michael R.
Sent: Mon Oct 25 18:59:14 2010
Subject: Re: Green Companies

Your such a dear and you are a great friend. Oh yea, do I have special parking or should I take my Harley?

From: "Peevey, Michael R." [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 10/25/2010 06:51 PM MST
To: Bruce Foster
Subject: Re: Green Companies

Spain , which is why you have UC-Oregon tix.

From: Bruce.Foster@sce.com
To: Peevey, Michael R.
Sent: Mon Oct 25 18:31:27 2010
Subject: Re: Green Companies

I understand. Are you going to NARUC or Spain with Gaddi? I bet Spain.

From: "Peevey, Michael R." [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 10/25/2010 05:49 PM MST

To: Bruce Foster
Subject: RE: Green Companies

No. And unnecessary, if PG&E and SDG&E take their fair share the same purpose is accomplished.

From: Bruce.Foster@sce.com [mailto:Bruce.Foster@sce.com]
Sent: Mon 10/25/2010 4:57 PM
To: Peevey, Michael R.
Subject: Re: Green Companies

I know you do. You know what would really help is to have the PPA costs recovered as a TAC charge and that way everyone pays as it benefits everyone in the state in one way or another. Is that possible?

From: "Peevey, Michael R." [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 10/25/2010 03:29 PM MST
To: Bruce Foster
Subject: RE: Green Companies

I am more concerned, honestly, about HECA. Really need this.

From: Bruce.Foster@sce.com [mailto:Bruce.Foster@sce.com]
Sent: Mon 10/25/2010 3:24 PM
To: Peevey, Michael R.
Subject: Re: Green Companies

So we are meeting in SF on Nov. 5 on the LLLab proposal and HECA. Should be an interesting day. I spent some time last week at the lab in an effort to enhance their presentation before the hall of fame! You know Mahvash and she likes you a lot but she has not been helpful in this effort and maybe if you have time you could give her a call and get her to be more supportive of the labs efforts. Her number is: Redacted I think I can make this work but it like pulling teeth. These are the same guys who made a filing over a year and a half ago at the commission to ask our customers to pay \$10 million a year for renewable integration for three years and then withdrew the application because they could not identify any value! Go figure. Regard. Bruce.

Bruce Foster, Senior Vice President
Southern California Edison
(415) 929-5512
Fax (415) 929-5540

(Please note new telephone and fax numbers)

From: "Peevey, Michael R." <michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov>
To: <alan.fohrer@sce.com>, <pedro.pizarro@sce.com>
Cc: <fosterbc@sce.com>

Date: 10/24/2010 03:17 PM
Subject: Green Companies

The current issue of Newsweek lists the 100 (and their website the 500) greenest companies in America. As you ponder the HECA matter, and I have conveyed to you my strong support for you leading the way to a power purchase contract, I would hope you and Ted Craver would look at this list. Of the companies, PG&E is ranked #20. EIX is #484. I cannot imagine such a ranking in Bill Gould's day. And, you know, I personally feel some embarrassment that EIX is unwilling to oppose Prop 23. Oh, well, such is life.

Message

From: Bruce.Foster@sce.com [Bruce.Foster@sce.com]
Sent: 1/6/2011 11:39:03 PM
To: MP1@cpuc.ca.gov
Subject: Fw: Draft SCE Comments on Draft Resolution W-4867 (2010 Tax Act)
Attachments: W-4867 Comments.doc

Mike, this issue is more complicated than just flowing benefits back to customers. See our draft comments below that we will file tomorrow. I would be pleased to work with Carol on this issue unless its a done deal and you are going to approve it next week, period. Regards Bruce.

Bruce Foster, Senior Vice President
Southern California Edison
(415) 929-5512
Fax (415) 929-5540

(Please note new telephone and fax numbers)

----- Forwarded by Bruce C Foster/SCE/EIX on 01/06/2011 03:34 PM -----

From: Francis McNulty/SCE/EIX
To: Linda Sullivan/SCE/EIX@SCE, Russell Worden/SCE/EIX@SCE, Akbar Jazayeri/SCE/EIX@SCE, Patricia Y Wong/SCE/EIX@SCE, Jeff Barnett/SCE/EIX@SCE, Alfred L Lopez/SCE/EIX@SCE, Robert Adler/SCE/EIX@SCE, Jim Scilacci/SCE/EIX@SCE, Stephen E Pickett/SCE/EIX@SCE, Barbara Mathews/SCE/EIX@SCE, James Lehrer/SCE/EIX@SCE, Kris G Vyas/SCE/EIX@SCE, Bruce C Foster/SCE/EIX@SCE, Brian Prusnek/SCE/EIX@SCE, Susan Quon/SCE/EIX@SCE
Date: 01/06/2011 02:19 PM
Subject: Draft SCE Comments on Draft Resolution W-4867 (2010 Tax Act)



FOR INTERNAL USE ONLY

Attached is a revised draft of the comments due to be filed tomorrow on Draft Resolution W-4867, which would make the rates of cost-of-service utilities subject to refund to capture the effects of the recently enacted federal income tax legislation.

Frank McNulty

Message

From: Peevey, Michael R. [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 1/7/2011 2:01:36 AM
To: Bruce.Foster@sce.com
CC: Brown, Carol A. [carol.brown@cpuc.ca.gov]
Subject: RE: Draft SCE Comments on Draft Resolution W-4867 (2010 Tax Act)
Attachments: ATT2424105.gif; ATT2424106.gif

Believe it or not, I read Akbar's statement. It is thoughtful. Question: Do the other utilities agree and are they joining Edison in filing such comments? Talk to Carol. Maybe I will hold the item on the 13th for two weeks.

From: Bruce.Foster@sce.com [mailto:Bruce.Foster@sce.com]
Sent: Thu 1/6/2011 3:39 PM
To: Peevey, Michael R.
Subject: Fw: Draft SCE Comments on Draft Resolution W-4867 (2010 Tax Act)

Mike, this issue is more complicated than just flowing benefits back to customers. See our draft comments below that we will file tomorrow. I would be pleased to work with Carol on this issue unless its a done deal and you are going to approve it next week, period. Regards Bruce.

Bruce Foster, Senior Vice President
Southern California Edison
(415) 929-5512
Fax (415) 929-5540

(Please note new telephone and fax numbers)

----- Forwarded by Bruce C Foster/SCE/EIX on 01/06/2011 03:34 PM -----

From: Francis McNulty/SCE/EIX
To: Linda Sullivan/SCE/EIX@SCE, Russell Worden/SCE/EIX@SCE, Akbar Jazayeri/SCE/EIX@SCE, Patricia Y Wong/SCE/EIX@SCE, Jeff Barnett/SCE/EIX@SCE, Alfred L Lopez/SCE/EIX@SCE, Robert Adler/SCE/EIX@SCE, Jim Scilacci/SCE/EIX@SCE, Stephen E Pickett/SCE/EIX@SCE, Barbara Mathews/SCE/EIX@SCE, James Lehrer/SCE/EIX@SCE, Kris G Vyas/SCE/EIX@SCE, Bruce C Foster/SCE/EIX@SCE, Brian Prusnek/SCE/EIX@SCE, Susan Quon/SCE/EIX@SCE
Date: 01/06/2011 02:19 PM
Subject: Draft SCE Comments on Draft Resolution W-4867 (2010 Tax Act)



FOR INTERNAL USE ONLY

Attached is a revised draft of the comments due to be filed tomorrow on Draft Resolution W-4867, which would make the rates of cost-of-service utilities subject to refund to capture the effects of the recently enacted federal income tax legislation.

Frank McNulty

Message

From: Peevey, Michael R. [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 2/16/2012 4:29:05 PM
To: Les.Starck@sce.com
Subject: RE: La Canada Outages

OK. I am sending you local news clips on same.

-----Original Message-----

From: Les.Starck@sce.com [mailto:Les.Starck@sce.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2012 6:50 PM
To: Peevey, Michael R.
Subject: La Canada Outages

Mike, we've spoken with the Mayor of La Canada about the recent outages, gathering outage data, and coming up with a plan to address. This has got Ron's attention as well. I'll keep you posted on progress.

Message

From: Bruce.Foster@sce.com [Bruce.Foster@sce.com]
Sent: 2/15/2011 2:31:27 PM
To: Peevey, Michael R. [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Subject: Re: If you are free would like to talk to you. We are in the lobby. Thanks.

Yes.

From: "Peevey, Michael R." [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 02/14/2011 08:26 PM PST
To: Bruce Foster
Subject: Re: If you are free would like to talk to you. We are in the lobby. Thanks.

Can't you make it only the two of us?

From: Bruce.Foster@sce.com
To: Peevey, Michael R.
Sent: Mon Feb 14 17:14:21 2011
Subject: Re: If you are free would like to talk to you. We are in the lobby. Thanks.

Great. You will be with my team for lunch. Get ready and be nice to Prusnek or not!

From: "Peevey, Michael R." [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 02/14/2011 03:17 PM PST
To: Bruce Foster
Subject: Re: If you are free would like to talk to you. We are in the lobby. Thanks.

Ok. I will skip the Commissioner's only lunch tomorrow and instead have lunch with you outside the hotel. You pick. Will meet you in lobby at 11:45.

From: Bruce.Foster@sce.com
To: Peevey, Michael R.
Sent: Mon Feb 14 14:29:54 2011
Subject: Re: If you are free would like to talk to you. We are in the lobby. Thanks.

I am having dinner with Cynthia at Six tonight so I will catch you later. Are you going to our reception tomorrow at six. Any chance for lunch tomorrow? Have fun tonight.

From: "Peevey, Michael R." [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 02/14/2011 02:22 PM PST
To: Bruce Foster
Subject: Re: If you are free would like to talk to you. We are in the lobby. Thanks.

Could meet at 6 for a drink.

----- Original Message -----

From: Bruce.Foster@sce.com <Bruce.Foster@sce.com>
To: Peevey, Michael R.
Sent: Mon Feb 14 12:10:49 2011
Subject: If you are free would like to talk to you. We are in the lobby. Thanks.

Message

From: Les.Starck@sce.com [Les.Starck@sce.com]
Sent: 3/2/2012 11:50:43 PM
To: mp1@cpuc.ca.gov; tas@cpuc.ca.gov; catherine.sandoval@cpuc.ca.gov; mike.florio@cpuc.ca.gov; mark.ferron@cpuc.ca.gov
CC: Michelle Cooke [michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov]; julie.halligan@cpuc.ca.gov; vjb@cpuc.ca.gov; efr@cpuc.ca.gov; Lindh, Frank [frank.lindh@cpuc.ca.gov]; pac@cpuc.ca.gov
Subject: San Onofre Status Update
Attachments: SONGS statement_0302.docx

Commissioners, as a follow-up to Ron Litzinger's call to you this afternoon, attached is a copy of SCE's news release pertaining to SONGS. Please call if you have any questions or need further information.

(See attached file: SONGS statement_0302.docx)

Les Starck
Senior Vice President
Regulatory Affairs
Southern California Edison
Office: 626-302-4883
Cell: 202-256-7159

Message

From: Peevey, Michael R. [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 3/29/2012 6:07:16 PM
To: Lyneece.James.Johnson@sce.com
Subject: Re: Solar Millennium Update

Have him call now, please.

----- Original Message -----

From: Lyneece.James.Johnson@sce.com [mailto:Lyneece.James.Johnson@sce.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2012 10:50 AM
To: Peevey, Michael R.
Subject: Re: Solar Millennium Update

Confirmed. Ron will call your cell.

* * * * *
Lyneece James Johnson
Southern California Edison
Executive Assistant to Ron Litzinger
626-302-2201 ** 626-302-4737 FAX
GO-4, Fourth Floor

* * * * *
"I can't isn't a reason to give up, it's a reason to try harder"

Unknown

From: "Peevey, Michael R." <michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov>
To: <Lyneece.James.Johnson@sce.com>
Date: 03/29/2012 10:39 AM
Subject: Re: Solar Millennium Update

Riding in taxi in Oakland. Have him call at 11:15.

----- Original Message -----

From: Lyneece.James.Johnson@sce.com [mailto:Lyneece.James.Johnson@sce.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2012 10:35 AM
To: MP1%cpuc.ca._gov@sce.com <MP1%cpuc.ca._gov@sce.com>
Subject: Solar Millennium Update

Good morning. Ron would like to give you an update by phone today. Please let me know what time is convenient for you. Ron is open now thru 11:30, albeit short notice. Please advise. Thank you.

* * * * *
Lyneece James Johnson
Southern California Edison
Executive Assistant to Ron Litzinger
626-302-2201 ** 626-302-4737 FAX
GO-4, Fourth Floor

* * * * *
"I can't isn't a reason to give up, it's a reason to try harder"

Unknown

Message

From: Peevey, Michael R. [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 5/22/2013 3:50:25 PM
To: Gene Rodriguez (Gene.Rodriguez@sce.com) [Gene.Rodriguez@sce.com]
Subject: Australia

We should get together during this trip. Maybe we can have dinner Saturday night, the 24th. Have a good flight.

Appointment

From: Ronald Litzinger/SCE/EIX [Ron.Litzinger@sce.com]
To: Peevey\, Michael R. [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]; Ronald Litzinger/SCE/EIX [Ron.Litzinger@sce.com]
Subject: Updated: Meeting with Ted Craver, Ron Litzinger, and Bob Adler (Edison)
Location: LA Office
Start: 7/30/2012 9:00:00 PM
End: 7/30/2012 10:00:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy
Recurrence: (none)

Message

From: Ron.Litzinger@sce.com [Ron.Litzinger@sce.com]
Sent: 8/30/2012 7:49:27 PM
To: MP1%cpuc.ca._gov@sce.com
Subject: Fw: Edison response to Michael Hiltzik column

Resending as I got delivery failure??

As we discussed. Thanks for your insights today on the call-very helpful.

----- Forwarded by Ronald Litzinger/SCE/EIX on 08/30/2012 12:40 PM -----

From: Janet Clayton/SCE/EIX
To: Ronald Litzinger/SCE/EIX@SCE
Cc: Lyneece James Johnson/SCE/EIX@SCE, Paula Campbell/SCE/EIX@SCE
Date: 08/29/2012 07:34 PM
Subject: Fw: Edison response to Michael Hiltzik column



FOR INTERNAL USE ONLY

Ron, here's the letter we submitted. As discussed you might want to give the CPUC president's office a heads up, think they'll appreciate the effort.

Janet Clayton

SVP, Corporate Communications

Edison International

janet.clayton@edisonintl.com

626-302-2257, PAX 22257

----- Forwarded by Janet Clayton/SCE/EIX on 08/29/2012 07:31 PM -----

From: Janet Clayton/SCE/EIX
To: paul.thornton@latimes.com, letters@latimes.com
Date: 08/29/2012 07:23 PM
Subject: Edison response to Michael Hiltzik column

Hi Paul,

Here's a brief letter for your consideration for Friday's paper. It's in direct response to a column today by Michael Hiltzik. Feel free to call me if you have any questions. Thanks, Janet

Editor,

While we understand that columnists can use hyperbole effectively to make a point, the usually thoughtful Michael Hiltzik unfortunately crossed the line in his column "PUC dithers as consumers keep paying for San Onofre".

There is no "welfare" in the regulated system governed by the California Public Utilities Commission that oversees the delivery of electricity to 12 million customers in California.

Here's how it actually works: Southern California Edison, an investor-owned utility, is the majority owner of the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station. As a regulated utility, we receive only cost reimbursement and a fair investment return over a long period of time on capital that shareholders and creditors advanced up front, as they did for the steam generators---all as part of the costs required to provide emission-free electricity for 1.4 million homes. Now that the plant is shut down (because we elected to shut it down to ensure safe operations), the CPUC as state regulator is prudently overseeing the rate recovery "process" you deride. It is a careful and responsible process that cannot be derailed to satisfy hasty "feel-good" calls supposedly on behalf on the consumer. It is the consumer that we work to serve every day by providing safe, reliable and affordable electricity.

Ronald Litzinger

President, Southern California Edison

Rosemead

Janet Clayton

SVP, Corporate Communications

Edison International

janet.clayton@edisonintl.com

626-302-2257, PAX 22257

Message

From: Campbell.Hawkins@sce.com [Campbell.Hawkins@sce.com]
Sent: 9/12/2012 7:56:25 PM
To: Peevey, Michael R. [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Subject: Re: Catching up

Thank you, Mike. I very much appreciate your assistance. All the best,

From: "Peevey, Michael R." [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 09/12/2012 06:30 PM GMT
To: Campbell Hawkins
Subject: Catching up

Hi. Got your note of August 29 and will follow up, as requested.

Message

From: Peevey, Michael R. [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 9/21/2013 7:46:21 PM
To: Stephen.Pickett@sce.com
Subject: RE: Still in the UK next Monday?

Sure. We are staying at the Stafford Hotel in St. James. Ring us, leave a message, etc.

From: Stephen.Pickett@sce.com [Stephen.Pickett@sce.com]
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2013 9:50 AM
To: Peevey, Michael R.
Subject: Still in the UK next Monday?

Hi Mike,

Pat Mason told me that you and Carol were going to be in London this week. I'm passing through next Monday (9/30) on the way home from CFEE. If you're still there and not otherwise occupied would you like to meet for a drink Monday evening?
Sent from my Blackberry

Message

From: Stephen.Pickett@sce.com [Stephen.Pickett@sce.com]
Sent: 9/29/2013 5:31:45 PM
To: Peevey, Michael R. [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Subject: Re: London?

Great. I'll be there.
Sent from my Blackberry

----- Original Message -----

From: "Peevey, Michael R." [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 09/29/2013 04:21 PM GMT
To: Stephen Pickett
Subject: Re: London?

Sure. Make it 5:30 at The American Bar at the Stafford. See you then.

Sent from my iPad

On Sep 29, 2013, at 8:52 AM, "Stephen.Pickett@sce.com" <Stephen.Pickett@sce.com> wrote:

> Hi Mike. I get to London about noon tomorrow (Mon 9/30). If you're still here tomorrow will 6:00 at the Stafford work for a drink? I'm meeting some friends for dinner at 8:30.

> Sent from my Blackberry

>

>

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: "Peevey, Michael R." [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]

> Sent: 09/29/2013 03:47 PM GMT

> To: Stephen Pickett

> Subject: London?

>

>

>

> If coming, meet us at Stafford Hotel at 6 today.

Appointment

From: Ann Davey/SCE/EIX [Ann.Davey@sce.com]
To: Ann Davey/SCE/EIX [Ann.Davey@sce.com]; fohreraj@gmail.com; LEGIONARY44BC@ICLOUD.COM; mp1@cpuc.ca.gov; Stephen E Pickett/SCE/EIX [Stephen.Pickett@sce.com]
CC: nuria.gonzalez@cpuc.ca.gov
Subject: Dinner Meeting (Mike Peevey, Al Fohrer, Bob Foster & Steve Pickett)
Location: California Club, 538 South Flower, Los Angeles (Dinner will be served in the 3rd Floor Bar; Reservations under "Al Fohrer")
Start: 12/14/2013 2:00:00 AM
End: 12/14/2013 4:00:00 AM
Show Time As: Tentative
Recurrence: (none)

Message

From: Peevey, Michael R. [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 9/24/2013 9:26:57 PM
To: Michael.Hoover@sce.com
Subject: RE: Thanks

The Big Dogs always complain. Its part of their nature. But our issuance stems the bleeding.

From: Michael.Hoover@sce.com [Michael.Hoover@sce.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 2:12 PM
To: Peevey, Michael R.
Subject: Re: Thanks

Although not perfect, issuance of the ERRA PD is helpful - although the Big Dogs are still complaining....

----- Original Message -----
From: "Peevey, Michael R." [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 09/24/2013 07:44 PM GMT
To: Michael Hoover
Subject: RE: Thanks

What did I do to deserve "Thanks?"

From: Michael.Hoover@sce.com [Michael.Hoover@sce.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 7:46 AM
To: Peevey, Michael R.
Subject: Thanks

By the way, Dinner for Bruce will be Sunday, November 17. Should be a good crowd.

Message

From: Peevey, Michael R. [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 1/23/2013 11:22:40 PM
To: Michael.Hoover@sce.com
Subject: RE: Lobbying

I will have to play by ear. Most unlikely as I will be there Sunday-Tuesday nights and I am committed already to two of the nights. But, look me up at NARUC.

-----Original Message-----

From: Michael.Hoover@sce.com [mailto:Michael.Hoover@sce.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 23, 2013 3:12 PM
To: Peevey, Michael R.
Subject: Lobbying

Sounds like you and Bruce had a good lunch. How about a good old fashion NARUC dinner in DC at Citronelle - that's lobbying! I can make any night work.

Message

From: Peevey, Michael R. [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 7/26/2013 5:35:38 PM
To: Les.Starck@sce.com
CC: Brown, Carol A. [carol.brown@cpuc.ca.gov]; Michael.Hoover@sce.com
Subject: RE: DUARTE

I am not at all sure your approach, which is a brush-off, is right. Showing some compassion and compensation in individual cases may be the better approach. Frankly, the company seems to have a tin ear in these matters.

From: Les.Starck@sce.com [Les.Starck@sce.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2013 3:01 PM
To: Peevey, Michael R.
Cc: Brown, Carol A.; Michael.Hoover@sce.com
Subject: Fw: DUARTE

President Peevey, as we discussed on the phone today, attached is SCE's response to a Duarte resident's inquiry about SCE's negotiations with individual Duarte residents adjacent to the TRTP right of way. This was sent this afternoon.

I expect that this resident will escalate to the CPUC after she receives the note below. Wanted you to be aware.

Let me know if you need further info.

Thanks.

----- Original Message -----

From: Veronica Gutierrez
Sent: 07/25/2013 02:32 PM PDT
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted] Ronald Litzinger
Subject: Re: DUARTE

Per my previous e-mail, we cannot discuss confidential matters with you. SCE is under no obligation to compensate property owners in proximity to our projects, including property such as yours. We consider this matter closed and we do not intend to discuss it further.

Veronica Gutierrez
VP, Local Public Affairs
Southern California Edison
2244 Walnut Grove
Rosemead, CA 91770
(626)302-6710

From: [Redacted]
To: "Veronica.Gutierrez" <Veronica.Gutierrez@sce.com>,
Cc: ron.litzinger@sce.com, [Redacted]
Date: 07/24/2013 04:44 PM
Subject: Re: DUARTE

Ms. Gutierrez,
Thank you for responding to my email. I have just recently been made aware of these 'discussions' with my neighboring residents. I also realize these were made to be 'hush hush' as per Edison's agreement with these uniquely affected residents. That being said I am deeply concerned as to why I was not approached. I am one of the few (4) that are within 60 feet of these monster towers. I have full (close) view of these towers. There are 4 of

us who live directly under this tower. I am 1 residence South of where the tower is mounted. My question to Edison is why they discriminated against myself and other residents who have been forced to live with EMF's, noise and 300" towers in my backyard? I could send you photos of how close these are, but my guess is you 'folks' have already been up here to see the Hayes property when you approached them with compensation. That 'deal' is not a secret no matter what agreements were made or signed. It was also confirmed in a web cast dated June 26 by Mr. Litzinger himself that some Duarte residents took a 'pay off'.

You indicate unique. What categorizes unique? Why would I have been ignored in these discussions when I am the 4th closest property to these towers? Why was my property discounted as not being unique? Can't get much worse than my back and front yard view. Diminished value? Yes..I am a candidate for that with no question. Why was I disregarded or discriminated against?

Therefore, I again pose the question as to why I was not contacted or made privy to any 'deals' being made. I would like a response to that question, and know who is accountable for such actions. Also, any such deals would definitely hurt us who chose to fight to have them removed instead of taking a pay off or keep quiet money.

Our City is active again in our fight against these horrible towers, and of course has made contact with CPUC as we all have. That being a separate issue, this compensation for our diminished value and these so called "payoffs" is forefront with me at the moment and I would like an answer with regards to my being affected and not approached when the other residents just 40 feet from me were. As you may see, I am very passionate about this and will do whatever it takes to get answers and be heard. I look forward to hearing back soon. Thank you for your time

Redacted

"Shoot for the moon and if you miss, you will be among the stars - Les Brown-

Veronica.Gutierre
z@sce.com

07/24/2013 03:47
PM

Redacted

To

cc

Subject

Ms. **Redacted**

Southern California Edison received your email communication sent on Saturday, July 20, 2013, and as SCE's Vice President of Local Public Affairs, I was asked to respond to your inquiry on behalf of the company. In response to your question, we can confirm that SCE engaged in confidential discussions with a very limited number of Duarte homeowners that were uniquely impacted. As stated in the June 26, 2013 web cast of the TRTP Oral Argument, any information regarding those discussions or any outcome of those discussions is confidential and subject to nondisclosure agreements. As such, SCE cannot provide you with the information you are requesting.

Please let me know if you have further questions.

Regards,

Veronica Gutierrez
VP, Local Public Affairs
Southern California Edison
2244 Walnut Grove
Rosemead, CA 91770
(626)302-6710

Appointment

From: Sabina Ysaguirre/SCE/EIX [Sabina.Ysaguirre@sce.com]
To: Peevey\, Michael R. [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]; Sabina Ysaguirre/SCE/EIX [Sabina.Ysaguirre@sce.com]
Subject: Meeting with President Peevey RE: To present Southern California Edison's concerns regarding the Proposed Decision issued by ALJs Darling and Dudney on November 19th, 2013.
Location: President Peevey's office, Room 5218
Start: 12/4/2013 11:00:00 PM
End: 12/4/2013 11:30:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy
Recurrence: (none)

Message

From: Peevey, Michael R. [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 7/23/2013 9:42:04 PM
To: 'michael.hoover@sce.com' [michael.hoover@sce.com]
Subject: Re: Peevey/Litzinger Photo Op

Should be no different than other cost increases the line has and is experiencing.

----- Original Message -----
From: Michael.Hoover@sce.com [mailto:Michael.Hoover@sce.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2013 03:38 PM
To: Peevey, Michael R.
Subject: Re: Peevey/Litzinger Photo Op

Sorry that was a typo. We are concerned about FERC cost recovery.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Peevey, Michael R." [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 07/23/2013 08:42 PM GMT
To: Michael Hoover
Subject: Re: Peevey/Litzinger Photo Op

What is FERCM?

----- Original Message -----
From: Michael.Hoover@sce.com [mailto:Michael.Hoover@sce.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2013 01:59 PM
To: Peevey, Michael R.
Subject: Re: Peevey/Litzinger Photo Op

September will work. I'll make sure of it. We are a little worried about FERCM

----- Original Message -----
From: "Peevey, Michael R." [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 07/23/2013 07:40 PM GMT
To: Michael Hoover
Subject: Re: Peevey/Litzinger Photo Op

Ok, but one problem. I do not plan to be in Sca1 at all in August. Could do in September and it doesn't matter which pole is photographed.

----- Original Message -----
From: Michael.Hoover@sce.com [mailto:Michael.Hoover@sce.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2013 01:22 PM
To: Peevey, Michael R.
Subject: Fw: Peevey/Litzinger Photo Op

See below. I proposed this after our discussion. What do you think?

----- Original Message -----
From: Les Starck
Sent: 07/23/2013 11:44 AM PDT
To: Megan Jordan
Cc: Michael Hoover
Subject: Peevey/Litzinger Photo Op
Megan, President Peevey has asked whether Ron would be willing to be photographed with him in front of one of the towers in Chino Hills that we're about to take down. I've spoken to Ron and he's willing to do this...but I wanted to be sure that you were aware of the ask. Frankly, I think this is a good thing for Ron to do...we're not planning to appeal this and we want to move forward on a positive step.

I've asked our SF office to find a day and time for this photo opportunity...would we want to use Gene or another SCE photographer for this?

Thanks.

Les Starck
Senior Vice President
Regulatory Policy & Affairs
Southern California Edison
Office: 415-929-5512
Cell: 202-256-7159

Message

From: Peevey, Michael R. [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 1/6/2011 11:13:18 PM
To: Bruce.Foster@sce.com
Subject: RE: Litzinger-Pickett

On flow through, I don't think its a GRC matter. Should happen immediately, to benefit customers. In the past that is the way it has been handled---a flow through to customers.

Don't know about Florio. He would be disqualified for a year on so many matters, I believe.

The utilities are going to likely see a sea change in regulation in the next few years. Hold on to your seat belt and pine for the good old days (2003-10).

From: Bruce.Foster@sce.com [mailto:Bruce.Foster@sce.com]
Sent: Thu 1/6/2011 3:06 PM
To: Peevey, Michael R.
Subject: Re: Litzinger-Pickett

Yes, I initiated the request. I will have Gaddi work the Huffman bill. Bradford asked me to come spend some time with him which I plan to do soon. On another issue, you should look carefully at Resolution W-4867 which is on the commission agenda next week. It is way more complicated than Joel Perlstein and Clanon think. The resolution would make the rates of all cost of service rate regulated utilities subject to refund for the limited purpose of allowing whatever changes, if any, should be made to the rates of such utilities to reflect the benefits of the tax relief, unemployment insurance reauthorization, and job creation act of 2110 signed by Obama last month. This is a GRC issue and has many implications for capital expenditures, depreciation, job creation, cost recovery, equity, fairness, ect. All utilities are concerned and will Wall Street will be also be concerned. Would like to talk to you about it. A hold for one conference would allow for further discussion of this issue. Hope your having fun. Looks like you get to work with Florio this year! See you soon. Go DUCKS!

Bruce Foster, Senior Vice President
Southern California Edison
(415) 929-5512
Fax (415) 929-5540

(Please note new telephone and fax numbers)

From: "Peevey, Michael R." <michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov>
To: <fosterbc@sce.com>
Date: 01/06/2011 02:37 PM
Subject: Litzinger-Pickett

1. The two of them wish to have dinner with me this month, if possible. Are you included?
2. Assemblymember Huffman has a bill on smart meters that would impose a state moratorium for awhile. Need Gaddi to work the bill, which first goes to Steve Bradford's (former Edison employee) committee.

Message

From: Bruce.Foster@sce.com [Bruce.Foster@sce.com]
Sent: 2/14/2011 8:10:49 PM
To: Michael R. Peevey [mp1@cpuc.ca.gov]
Subject: If you are free would like to talk to you. We are in the lobby. Thanks.

Message

From: Peevey, Michael R. [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 9/12/2012 6:30:11 PM
To: 'campbell.hawkins@sce.com' [campbell.hawkins@sce.com]
Subject: Catching up

Hi. Got your note of August 29 and will follow up, as requested.

Message

From: Peevey, Michael R. [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 3/3/2012 9:29:04 PM
To: Les.Starck@sce.com
Subject: RE: Dinner Next Week

Yes. Let's say at 6.

From: Les.Starck@sce.com [mailto:Les.Starck@sce.com]
Sent: Sat 3/3/2012 7:56 AM
To: Peevey, Michael R.
Cc: Michael.Hoover@sce.com
Subject: Re: Dinner Next Week

Thanks Mike. March 7 will work. Are you good with Jardinere?

From: "Peevey, Michael R." [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 03/02/2012 05:11 PM PST
To: Les Starck
Subject: RE: Dinner Next Week

Could do the 7th. In SCal and Sac all of the following week.

From: Les.Starck@sce.com [mailto:Les.Starck@sce.com]
Sent: Fri 3/2/2012 4:30 PM
To: Peevey, Michael R.
Cc: Michael.Hoover@sce.com
Subject: Dinner Next Week

Hi Mike. Would you be available for dinner with Hoover and me sometime in the next week or two? I'm at CFEE early in the week, but could do dinner either Tuesday or Thursday evenings. Wednesday and Thursday of the following week would work as well. Thanks.

Les Starck
Senior Vice President
Regulatory Affairs
Southern California Edison
Office: 626-302-4883
Cell: 202-256-7159

Message

From: Bruce.Foster@sce.com [Bruce.Foster@sce.com]
Sent: 2/24/2011 12:30:19 AM
To: Peevey, Michael R. [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Subject: Re: Thank You Letter to SCE from Ruth Coleman re Chino Hills

Thanks Mike.

From: "Peevey, Michael R." [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 02/23/2011 04:19 PM PST
To: Bruce Foster
Subject: RE: Thank You Letter to SCE from Ruth Coleman re Chino Hills

Yes, holding.

From: Bruce.Foster@sce.com [mailto:Bruce.Foster@sce.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2011 4:19 PM
To: Peevey, Michael R.
Subject: Re: Thank You Letter to SCE from Ruth Coleman re Chino Hills

I leave March 9 and come back around the first week of April. Yes Josh and I talked for about 30 minutes. Very good conversation. He thinks I am just the right candidate they are looking for. I would like to talk to you about it at some point. Challenging, exciting, travel and hard work. Cynthia and I hope to go to Tahoe for a few days and discuss and clear my head. Tomorrow afternoon is bonus day at Edison. Are you holding bonus depreciation? Regards, Bruce.

From: "Peevey, Michael R." [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 02/23/2011 04:05 PM PST
To: Bruce Foster
Subject: RE: Thank You Letter to SCE from Ruth Coleman re Chino Hills

When do you leave for NZ? Did you talk to Josh?

From: Bruce.Foster@sce.com [mailto:Bruce.Foster@sce.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2011 3:13 PM
To: Peevey, Michael R.
Subject: Re: Thank You Letter to SCE from Ruth Coleman re Chino Hills

Yes. I am in SF.

From: "Peevey, Michael R." [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 02/23/2011 03:10 PM PST

To: Bruce Foster

Subject: RE: Thank You Letter to SCE from Ruth Coleman re Chino Hills

Where are you, here in SF?

From: Bruce.Foster@sce.com [mailto:Bruce.Foster@sce.com]

Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2011 2:51 PM

To: Peevey, Michael R.; Ryan, Nancy

Subject: Thank You Letter to SCE from Ruth Coleman re Chino Hills

FYI --

Bruce Foster, Senior Vice President

Southern California Edison

(415) 929-5512

Fax (415) 929-5540

(Please note new telephone and fax numbers)

Message

From: Peevey, Michael R. [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 1/7/2011 2:27:36 AM
To: Bruce.Foster@sce.com
CC: Brown, Carol A. [carol.brown@cpuc.ca.gov]
Subject: RE: Draft SCE Comments on Draft Resolution W-4867 (2010 Tax Act)
Attachments: ATT2424105.gif; ATT2424106.gif

I'll hold for two weeks. Carol, take note.

From: Bruce.Foster@sce.com [mailto:Bruce.Foster@sce.com]
Sent: Thu 1/6/2011 6:24 PM
To: Peevey, Michael R.
Cc: Brown, Carol A.
Subject: Re: Draft SCE Comments on Draft Resolution W-4867 (2010 Tax Act)

Yes all the utilities have similar concerns. PGE is different (they can't update their GRC like us and SDGE) but they have similar concerns. Because it came out on the 30th of Dec and comments are due tomorrow we will all file separately. I wonder if staff would have put this out if the utilities were going to experience increased tax obligations? Thanks a lot for reading our document. I really would appreciate a hold. I doubt that staff even knows what capital projects even qualify as we are still trying to figure it out, plus I believe we are not even obligated to utilize bonus depreciation. It's an option. We want to make sure everyone's benefits and implementation is consistent with existing rules and regulations. See you soon Mike.

From: "Peevey, Michael R." [michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: 01/06/2011 06:01 PM PST
To: Bruce Foster
Cc: "Brown, Carol A." <carol.brown@cpuc.ca.gov>
Subject: RE: Draft SCE Comments on Draft Resolution W-4867 (2010 Tax Act)

Believe it or not, I read Akbar's statement. It is thoughtful. Question: Do the other utilities agree and are they joining Edison in filing such comments? Talk to Carol. Maybe I will hold the item on the 13th for two weeks.

From: Bruce.Foster@sce.com [mailto:Bruce.Foster@sce.com]
Sent: Thu 1/6/2011 3:39 PM
To: Peevey, Michael R.
Subject: Fw: Draft SCE Comments on Draft Resolution W-4867 (2010 Tax Act)

Mike, this issue is more complicated than just flowing benefits back to customers. See our draft comments below that we will file tomorrow. I would be pleased to work with Carol on this issue unless it's a done deal and you are going to approve it next week, period. Regards Bruce.

Bruce Foster, Senior Vice President
Southern California Edison
(415) 929-5512
Fax (415) 929-5540

(Please note new telephone and fax numbers)

----- Forwarded by Bruce C Foster/SCE/EIX on 01/06/2011 03:34 PM -----

From: Francis McNulty/SCE/EIX

To: Linda Sullivan/SCE/EIX@SCE, Russell Worden/SCE/EIX@SCE, Akbar Jazayeri/SCE/EIX@SCE, Patricia Y Wong/SCE/EIX@SCE, Jeff Barnett/SCE/EIX@SCE, Alfred L Lopez/SCE/EIX@SCE, Robert Adler/SCE/EIX@SCE, Jim Scilacci/SCE/EIX@SCE, Stephen E Pickett/SCE/EIX@SCE, Barbara Mathews/SCE/EIX@SCE, James Lehrer/SCE/EIX@SCE, Kris G Vyas/SCE/EIX@SCE, Bruce C Foster/SCE/EIX@SCE, Brian Prusnek/SCE/EIX@SCE, Susan Quon/SCE/EIX@SCE

Date: 01/06/2011 02:19 PM

Subject: Draft SCE Comments on Draft Resolution W-4867 (2010 Tax Act)



FOR INTERNAL USE ONLY

Attached is a revised draft of the comments due to be filed tomorrow on Draft Resolution W-4867, which would make the rates of cost-of-service utilities subject to refund to capture the effects of the recently enacted federal income tax legislation.

Frank McNulty