

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion into the Rates, Operations, Practices, Services and Facilities of Southern California Edison Company and San Diego Gas and Electric Company Associated with the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station Units 2 and 3.

Investigation 12-10-013
(Filed October 25, 2012)

And Related Matters.

Application 13-01-016
Application 13-03-005
Application 13-03-013
Application 13-03-014

**SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY'S (U 338-E)
LATE-FILED NOTICE OF EX PARTE COMMUNICATION**

J. ERIC ISKEN
WALKER A. MATTHEWS, III
RUSSELL A. ARCHER
Southern California Edison Company
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, CA 91770
Telephone: (626) 302-6879
Facsimile: (626) 302-3990
E-mail: *Walker.Matthews@sce.com*

HENRY WEISSMANN
Munger, Tolles & Olson LLP
355 South Grand Avenue, 35th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Telephone: (213) 683-9150
Facsimile: (213) 683-5150
E-mail: *Henry.Weissmann@mt.com*

Attorneys for
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

Dated: February 9, 2015

Southern California Edison (SCE) respectfully submits this late-filed Notice of Ex Parte Communication. On or about March 26, 2013, former SCE Executive Vice President of External Relations, Stephen Pickett, met with then-President Michael Peevey at the Bristol Hotel in Warsaw, Poland in connection with an industry event. To the best of Mr. Pickett's recollection, the meeting lasted approximately 30 minutes. Mr. Pickett recalls that Ed Randolph, Director of the Energy Division, also was present for some or all of the meeting.

The meeting was initiated by Mr. Peevey, who had requested an update on the status of SCE's efforts to restart San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS) Unit 2. Mr. Pickett provided the requested update. Thereafter, in the course of the meeting, Mr. Peevey initiated a communication on a framework for a possible resolution of the Order Instituting Investigation (OII) that he would consider acceptable but would nonetheless require agreement among at least some of the parties to the OII and presentation to and approval of such agreement by the full Commission. Mr. Pickett believes that he expressed a brief reaction to at least one of Mr. Peevey's comments. Mr. Pickett took notes during the meeting, which Mr. Peevey kept; SCE does not have a copy of those notes.

An ex parte notice was not filed at that time because it was believed that (a) Mr. Pickett's update on SONGS restart efforts was permissible and not reportable, and (b) based on Mr. Pickett's recounting of the conversation, the substantive communication on a framework for a possible resolution of the OII was made by Mr. Peevey to Mr. Pickett, and not from Mr. Pickett to Mr. Peevey. However, based on further information received from Mr. Pickett last week, while Mr. Pickett does not recall exactly what he communicated to Mr. Peevey, it now appears that he may have crossed into a substantive communication. While SCE believes that it is not clear cut whether Rule 8.4 requires this meeting to be reported, SCE provides this notice.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: February 9, 2015

J. ERIC ISKEN
WALKER A. MATTHEWS
RUSSELL A. ARCHER
HENRY WEISSMANN

/s/ Henry Weissmann

By: Henry Weissmann

Attorneys for
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY